

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 AMINA JOHNSON,

Case No. 2:24-cv-02033-APG-EJY

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

ORDER

7 GENERAL DYNAMICS INFORMATION
8 TECHNOLOGY,

9 Defendant.

10 The Court has before it Defendant's bare bones Motion to Extend Scheduling Order
11 Deadlines (ECF No. 71), the Opposition (ECF No. 72), and the Reply thereto (ECF No 73). The
12 Court notes the original discovery plan and scheduling order was entered on January 18, 2025,
13 approximately six months ago. ECF No. 41. The discovery completed to date is paltry at best. ECF
14 No. 71 at 2. No stay of discovery was sought following Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or Plaintiff's
15 request to amend for the second time. Nonetheless, to deny an extension of discovery under the
16 circumstances presented would effectively prevent consideration of this case on its merits.

17 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Extend Scheduling
18 Order Deadlines (ECF No. 71) is GRANTED; provided, however, that if substantial discovery is not
19 completed during the remaining discovery period, a further extension will not be granted.

20 Dated this 17th day of July, 2025.

21 
22 ELAYNA J. YOUCRAH
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24

25

26

27

28